

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		_		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/876,183	06/06/2001	Kun-Yung Ken Chang	RB1-010US	5850
29150 7:	590 08/11/2004		EXAM	INER
LEE & HAYI	09/876,183 06/06/2001 Kun-Yung Ken Chang	NGUYEN, HAI L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SPORANE, WA 99201			2816	
		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004		

٠;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/876,193	PHELY, OLIVIER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	, Hai L. Nguyen	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u> 16 June 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 3	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 ز	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	\	(
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 06 June 2001 is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	aceived				
See the attached detailed Office action for a	iist of the certified copies flot re	ceiveu.				
Attachmont(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	mmany (PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/876,1/3

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "load pulse", in claims 8 and 9, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "a clock generator configured to generate a first clock signal and a second clock signal", "an integrator" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/876, 1/63

Art Unit: 2816

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the description of the element 252, in Fig.4, is not descriptive. It appears that the element 252 is a Flip-Flop, rather than an integrator as describe in the specification (page 10, lines 3-11); and "RCLK is used to latch TCLK", rather than "RCLK is used to integrate TCLK". A new description is required that is clearly indicative of the function to which the element is performed.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 2-8, 10-13, and 15-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: "An apparatus", in claims 2-8, should be changed to --The apparatus--; "A method", in claims 10-13, should be changed to --the method--; and "A memory system", in claims 15-20, should be changed to --the memory system--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claimed limitations that "shifting the phase of the first clock signal by 90 degrees using a quadrature phase detector", in

Application/Control Number: 09/876,183

Art Unit: 2816

Art Unit: 2816

claim 9, has not been enabled in the specification. The details of such functions are not seen in the description of the preferred embodiment. Since, it is notoriously well known in the art that quadrature phase detector circuits are used to detect and lock on when the two input signals are 90-degree out of phase with each other (see Graham et al., US 5,072,195). Therefore, it is not clear as currently defined, how the instant invention can perform the recited function.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claim 1 is indefinite because the limitation "the phase detector generating a skip signal by integrating the first clock signal, wherein the skip signal indicates whether the first clock signal is ahead of the second clock signal" is misdescriptive. Fig. 4 clearly shows that the phase detector (252) generating a skip signal (260) by clocking the first clock signal (256), rather than by integrating the first clock signal.

Claims 2-8 are rendered indefinite by the deficiencies of base claim 1.

10. Claim 15 is similarly indefinite because of the limitation "the phase detector generates a skip signal by integrating the first clock signal", note the above discussion with regard to claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,183
Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Filip (US 12. 6,081,572).

With regard to claim 1, Filip discloses in Figs. 4B-5B an apparatus comprising a clock generator (304, 306) configured to generate a first clock signal (PD1) and a second clock signal (PD2), wherein the timing relationship between the first and second clock signals is arbitrary and wherein the first and second clock signals are individually adjustable; and a phase detector (308) coupled to receive the first and second clock signals, the phase detector generating a skip signal (S0) "by integrating" the first clock signal, wherein the skip signal indicates whether the first clock signal is ahead of the second clock signal.

With regard to claims 2, 4, 6, and 7, the references also meet the recited limitations in these claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,163

Art Unit: 2816

With regard to claim 3, the skip signal has a first value (0) if the first clock signal is ahead of the second clock signal and the skip signal has a second value (1) if the second clock signal is ahead of the first clock signal.

With regard to claim 8, the limitation that "the skip signal indicates whether a load pulse should be sampled." is deemed to be <u>intended use</u> of the skip signal, it is noted that Filip reference has the ability to be used for indicating as well and therefore this limitation is met by the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Filip.

The above-discussed circuit of Filip meets all of the claimed limitations except for an inverter (262 in instant Fig. 4) coupled to an output of the phase detector. However, it is notoriously well known in the art that inverter are employed just for inverting a logic level of an input signal (see column 1, lines 30-49 US 6,727,735). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize an inverter for inverting an output level of the phase detector of the prior art to meet the specific required level of the particular application.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,123

Art Unit: 2816

15. Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al. (US 6,373,293) in view of Best (US 6,373,293).

With regard to claim 14, Kawasaki et al. discloses in Figs. 7-9 a memory system comprising a memory storage device (SDRAM, SRAM); a data bus (DATA); a clock generator (Xtal) configured to generate a first clock signal (CKIO) and a second clock signal (Φ (CPU)); and a memory controller (MCU). Figs. 7-9 of Kawasaki et al. shows a memory system meeting all of the claimed limitations except for a phase detector included in the memory controller as recited in the claim. Best teaches in Figs. 3-5 a quadrature phase detector for detecting the two input signals, which are 90-degree out of phase with each other such as the first and second clock signal above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the quadrature phase detector taught by Best with the prior art (Figs. 7-9 of Kawasaki et al.) in order to detect phase differences from a quadrature phase relationship, without the need for extensive additional circuitry for driving and correcting the phase detection circuitry.

With regard to claim 15, the phase detector generates a skip signal (EARLY/LATE) by integrating the first clock signal over one half of a clock cycle.

With regard to claims 16-20, the references also meet the recited limitations in these claims.

Conclusion

16. Regarding claims 9-13, the patentability thereof cannot be determined because of failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,13

Art Unit: 2816

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. For example, Stark et al. (US 5,825,209) is cited as of interest because it discloses a quadrature phase detector circuit.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai L. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1747 and Right Fax number is 571-273-1747. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1562.

July 29, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800